

## **OVING PAVILION**

### **LOCATION AND DESIGN GROUP MEETING NO. 4**

**27<sup>th</sup> Nov 2007**

### **ACTION NOTE**

#### **Present**

Jack Peeters (chairman), Elaine Conway, Kit Couper, Frank Bennet, Stuart Taylor, Paul Waldron, Philip Shuffill, Julian Bamford, Steve Baker, Paul Brett

#### **Apologies**

None.

#### **Notes of previous meeting**

Agreed.

#### **Location of Pavilion within the recreation ground**

Firstly, some initial costings were presented to the committee for:-

- i) potentially relocating the Tennis courts (site 4) approx £40k-£45k
- ii) potentially relocating toddler's playground (site 3) between £6k and £12k

Paul Brett then presented some costings for the remaining sites. These costs assumed that the building's superstructure would cost the same regardless of location, and so represent the extra costs on a per-site basis. In summary, the costs for the remaining sites were as follows:-

- i) Site 2 – circa. £9k
- ii) Site 3 – circa. £7k (plus relocation costs of playground, see above)
- iii) Site 4 – circa £50k (plus relocation costs of playground, see above)
- iv) Site 7 – circa.£6k
- v) Site 8 – circa. £9k

The committee then discussed the costs and the remaining sites. The tennis club would be an additional beneficiary of siting the new pavilion on site 3, as they would have the much needed improvements to their current facility. If site 3 is ruled out, then some new services could be provided to an improved tennis club hut (e.g. electricity supply) as the committee considered that all users of the recreation ground should be kept included. It was then considered that due to the cost constraints, Site 4 was ruled out as prohibitively expensive.

From further discussing issues such as access, visibility, safety, security and previous survey results from Elaine Conway and Kit Couper (see Action note dated 15<sup>th</sup> October 2007) site 3 was ruled out of further consideration.

The remaining sites were therefore 2, 7 and 8. The football club clearly require access to some facility (existing or new) for the bulk of the playing season, however, they are possibly prepared to do without a facility for a couple of weeks at either the beginning or the end of the season depending on when the building eventually takes place, to help save costs of putting in temporary accommodation if site 7 is redeveloped.

Paul Brett had provided the committee with two design documents. An ECB document on the design of Cricket clubhouses, and one from Sport England on the Design of pavilions and clubhouses. These documents covered various aspects that could be taken into account when designing such a facility. Some areas were aspect, viewing angles, internal requirements (changing facilities etc.), style and construction related issues including safety and security. After reviewing these, it was felt that sites 2 and 8 were a little too far away from the existing services, and would look isolated and potentially unsightly. Site 7 could be redeveloped, however would leave all users of the facility without anything unless rented temporary units were put in place. Costs of this have not yet been sought. The committee then discussed a new site referred to as "Site 7½", which would lie between Sites 7 and 8. It was felt that this would leave room to use the existing pavilion for the bulk of the time of re-development, whilst also retaining a close proximity to existing services therefore reducing the financial burden. Other features of this new site would be a relatively small visual impact in the recreation ground, minimal interference of existing uses of the area, retention of suitable spaces for football training, retention of all the other areas currently in the recreation ground. The committee discussed the requirement to provide an area of hard-standing for both service vehicles and disabled access at the new location and considered the location of the Reading Room in this regard, although no conclusions or recommendations were reached..

Following these discussions, the committee then agreed to recommend that Site 7½ be adopted as the preferred site to be recommended to the Parish Council, to then be put to the village for further consultation and analysis.

The next meeting is to be held on January 14<sup>th</sup> 2008, at Kit's home, 14 The Pightle.