

## OVING PAVILION

### LOCATION AND DESIGN GROUP MEETING NO. 9

7<sup>th</sup> April 2008

#### ACTION NOTE

**Action**

**Present** Jack Peeters (chairman), Elaine Conway, Kit Couper, Stuart Taylor, Paul Waldron, Philip Shuffill, Julian Bamford.

**Apologies** Frank Bennet advised he would be arriving late

**Notes of previous meeting** Paul Brett was also in attendance at the previous meeting otherwise these were agreed.

#### **Matters Arising from previous meeting**

Philip, Elaine and Paul Brett had met as agreed and produced two revised layouts for further consideration. Jack and Stuart had also met to consider the external appearance of the proposed building.

However before these were discussed the group considered the resignation of Paul Brett. Philip & Elaine expressed surprise as there had been no indication earlier in the week (when they had met) that Paul was considering his position. Jack had also spoken to Paul and thought he had managed to persuade him to remain in the group. Jack thought Paul's contributions had been very helpful and hoped that Paul would be prepared to contribute again on specific points if requested.

#### **Fundraising**

Roger Ball (Chairman of the Fundraising Group) joined the meeting to advise on progress. The Race Night at Quanton was a great success, enjoyed by everyone who had attended and had raised between £1,000 and £1,200.

Other functions are in the pipeline.

Potential sources of funding are:

1. The WREN Communities Challenge Fund – up to £250,000 may be available subject to a bid which needs to meet a 30 May deadline
2. A £50,000 grant may also be available via WREN (not the Challenge Fund) but this requires a third party fund who will contribute 11% of the monies needed. This could be AVDC as they had made a contribution to a project in Whitchurch in similar circumstances. Advice had been received from AVDC which suggests that as the Methodist Chapel was no longer available, the village would be in a better position to seek funding for a meeting room.
3. The Football Foundation. RB advised that he had viewed the web-site some time ago but would contact them again to verify the way forward.

**RB**

Some discussion took place on issues of VAT and whether the building would be subject to Business Rates if it was to be available for third party functions. RB agreed to investigate further

**RB**

## **Design Considerations**

**Action**

Julian presented a computer generated 3D version of an earlier layout to show what can be achieved with the software now available. He also suggested that if we wished to employ his friend/colleague to develop this further it would probably take 1 or 2 days at a cost of £300 per day.

The Layout Options 1 and 2 were discussed in detail.

Concerns were raised that we needed to keep the building “in scale” with it’s function and that we should keep in view the reaction of villagers to the previous proposal in that it was thought by some to be “too big”.

However it was accepted that the size of the building was being driven, in part, by the need (and wish) to comply with the requirements of the Football Foundation (the likely major funding partner) and the desire to provide a Village meeting room.

It was also the consensus that we should arrive at a scheme that meet these requirements and is one that we can recommend to the village. It should not be presented as a fait accompli.

It was agreed that we should move forward with Layout 1 but the group was aware that amendments can be made to increase/decrease the size of the meeting room and relocate the boiler room/plant room.

Discussion also took place on the relative width of the veranda. Some suggested 2.4 metres (8 feet) was appropriate, others thought 2.0 metres (6 feet) would be more acceptable. A vote of 4:3 in favour of the 2.4 metre veranda was the resolution.

Jack and Stuart presented their proposals for the design of the front elevation, the roof, the veranda and preferred choice of materials.

The indicative drawing is very similar to the pavilion at Great Tew with a roof pitch set at 30<sup>0</sup> and extending over the veranda area. The building is shown to be raised on a plinth some three steps above the level of the pitch and the elevation divided into an odd number of bays with a pediment over the central bay/bays. The group was happy with the general approach but the roof will also be “hipped”, rather than having gable ends, to reduce the bulk and visual impact.

Initial thoughts on construction materials suggest that building should be of brick or stone outside, depending on cost, and block construction inside (no studwork/plasterboard internal walls) and a slate or tiled roof.

Jack to produce a revised drawing of the elevations to reflect the outcome of the discussion.

**Jack**

## **Date of Next Meeting**

The next meeting will be on Monday 28<sup>th</sup> April 2008 @ 7.30pm in the Reading Room. The main item on that agenda should be the presentation of our work at the annual parish meeting in May.

Thanks to Paul and Jan for their hospitality